Copyright © by Ian Haworth (USC). Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission.

Chemistry in Drug Design and Delivery: Use of Chemical Principles to Make Better Drugs

Ian S. Haworth



pH Values of Different Parts of the Gastrointestinal Tract





Lipinski's Rule of Five

Molecular Weight <= 500 - Size # Hydrogen Bond Acceptors <=10 Sum of N and O # Hydrogen Bond Donors <= 5 Sum of NH and OH -2 < CLog P < 5. - Solubility

Rotatable Bonds <= 10 - Molecular Flexibility</pre>

Lipinski et al. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 1997; 23: 3-25.



This was request 1 out of 100 available this month for your site 76.167.147.238.

www.molinspiration.com/cgi-bin/properties

Pubchem: http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

quartile	%F range (rat)	MW	no. of rotatable bonds	$C \log P^{\flat}$	H-bond donor count	H-bond acceptor count	H-bond total count
			Full Data Se	t, MW range	e 220-770, n = 1	1117	
4	>42.7-100	431.6	6.17	4.45	1.75	5.81	7.56
3	>15.5-42.7	483.9	8.15	4.78	2.03	6.60	8.63
2	>4.3-15.5	492.3	9.00	4.38	2.40	7.12	9.52
1	<4.3	511.1	10.22	3.49	2.99	8.06	11.05
all	av 28.7	479.8	8.39	4.27	2.29	6.90	9.20
ΓW	rith %F	-0.35	-0.39	0.10	-0.32	-0.35	-0.39

Oral bioavailability (F) in rat





Dewland et al. BMC Clinical Pharmacology 2009, 9:19

Dependence of Ibuprofen **Pharmacokinetics** on Fasted or Fed Conditions





Average plasma concentration vs time profiles of ibuprofen. Fasted (n = 20, green line) and fed (n = 14, black line) conditions plotted in (A) logarithmic and (B) linear scale. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM). Data from fasted subjects (n = 20) and fed subjects (n = 14) are shown. Fasted



Individual plasma concentration vs. time profiles of ibuprofen under fasted and fed conditions. Each line represents an individual subject. given an 800 mg tablet of ibuprofen swallowed with 250 mL of water.

Fed





Average concentration of ibuprofen in luminal GI fluid supernatant through the GI tract.in fasted or fed states. Mid jejunum (black line), proximal jejunum (red line), duodenum (green line), and stomach (blue line).



Individual pH values in the stomach over time in fasted or fed states Koenigsknecht et al. Mol. Pharmaceutics 2017;14: 4295-4304



Figure 1. Dissolution profiles of (a) 100 mg itraconazole, (b) 100 mg of itraconazole HCl, and (c) 100 mg of itraconazole HCl with beta-cyclodextrin in 900 mL of simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2) at a stirring rate of 100 rpm

Tao et al. Int J Pharm. 2009;367:109-14.

is also shown for a solution of itraconazole (100 mg, also containing cyclodextrin) given under fasted conditions.

Parameters are given for these two experiments. A curve

Van Peer et al. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1989;36:423-6.





Nonappa et al. Cryst Growth Des. 2013;13:346–51. (reference added after talk)

Effect of Food on Pharmacokinetics and Crystal Packing of Rufinamide



Mean plasma concentrations of rufinamide in healthy volunteers treated with a single oral dose of 600 mg without (\bullet) and with (\circ) food

Cardot et al. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 1998;19:259-62.



Salunke et al. J Pharm Biomed Analysis 2018;149:185-92.



Dissolution profiles of rufinamide

Solubility profile of R(n=3).

Media	pН	Conc. \pm SD (µg/ml)
Water	7	30.56 ± 3.64
0.1 N HCI	1.4	30.26 ± 4.47
0.01 N HCI	2.15	31.91 ± 4.93
0.001 N HCI	3	25.97 ± 2.16
0.1 N KCI	5.3	31.32 ± 2.15
Citrate buffer	3	29.28 ± 3.71
Citrate buffer	5	28.08 ± 3.53
Acetate buffer	4.5	29.36 ± 2.93
Sodium phosphate buffer	6.85	28.50 ± 1.99
Phosphate buffer (PBS)	7.41	28.12 ± 1.67
1% Tween 80 0.1 N HCI	1.5	80.84 ± 7.2
2% Tween 80 0.1 N HCI	1.51	75.96 ± 1.61
1% SLS 0.1 N HCI	1.65	92.84 ± 0.63
2% SLS 0.1 N HCI	1.69	144.84 ± 2.94
1% Tween 80 PBS 7.4	8.03	62.06 ± 4.3
2% Tween 80 PBS 7.4	8.05	59.18 ± 5.86
1% Tween 80 Water	6.5	67.53 ± 1.57
2% Tween 80 Water	6.5	99.94 ± 5.13
1% SLS Water	8.23	56.07 ± 8.24
2% SLS Water	8.87	139.63 ± 4.58
1% Tween 80 sodium phosphate buffer 6.8	7.15	45.68 ± 2.88
2% Tween 80 sodium phosphate buffer 6.8	7.14	47.61 ± 4.35
1% SLS sodium phosphate buffer 6.8	7.11	158.58 ± 2.75
2% SLS sodium phosphate buffer 6.8	7.15	184.22 ± 7.56

Transporter-Mediated Drug Absorption



Category (K _i range)	Substrate/inhibitor	$K_i (mM)^a$
High affinity (< 0.5 mM)	Lys[Z(NO ₂)]-Val	0.002 ± 0.001^{b}
	Alafosfalin	0.19 ± 0.01
	Ala-Lys	0.21 ± 0.02
	Ceftibuten	0.34 ± 0.03
	Valaciclovir	0.49 ± 0.04
Medium affinity (0.5–5 mM)	Gly-Sar	1.1 ± 0.1
	Pro-Pro	1.2 ± 0.1
	δ -Aminolevulinic acid	1.5 ± 0.1
	Cloxacillin	3.0 ± 1.0
	Lys-Lys	3.4 ± 0.7
Low affinity (5–15 mM)	D-Ala-Lys	7.0 ± 0.6
	Cefadroxil	7.2 ± 0.8
	Pro-Ala	9.5 ± 0.4
	4-Aminophenylacetic acid	14 ± 1
	Cephalexin	14 ± 2





Brandsch et al. J Pharm Pharmacol. 2008;60:543–585.



J Chem Educ. 2020;97:2212-2220

pubs.acs.org/jchemeduc

Article

Teaching of Biopharmaceutics in a Drug Design Course: Use of GastroPlus as Educational Software

Rebecca M. Romero, Michael B. Bolger, Noam Morningstar-Kywi, and Ian S. Haworth*







Conclusions



- 1. Good oral absorption requires a drug with a mixture of hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties
- 2. Physicochemical data collected in vitro can be used to predict aspects of drug delivery in vivo
- 3. Drug delivery can be sensitive to the environment of the gastrointestinal tract
- 4. The solid-state form of the drug can influence its potential for oral delivery
- Drug delivery can be simulated using physicochemical and biochemical data in physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PB/PK) models







